

The Next Mideast War Is A Rocket Away

By Michael B. Oren

Special to The Washington Post

Article Last Updated: 03/01/2008 12:49:33 PM MST

http://www.sltrib.com/opinion/ci_8420518

Note from Pastor Kevin Lea follows this article.

It begins with a single Qassam rocket, one of the thousands of homemade projectiles fired in recent years by the Islamic radicals of Hamas from the Gaza Strip into southern Israel. The rockets have made life nightmarish for many Israelis but have largely missed their targets. But this one gets "lucky": It smashes into an elementary school, wounding 40 children and killing 15.

The Israeli government, which had heretofore responded to the Qassams with airstrikes and small ground raids, cannot resist the nationwide demand for action. Within hours, tens of thousands of Israeli troops and hundreds of tanks are rushing into Gaza, battling house-to-house in teeming refugee camps. Just as swiftly, Palestinian officials accuse Israel of perpetrating a massacre and invite the foreign press to photograph the corpse-strewn rubble. The images flash around the Middle East on al-Jazeera TV and trigger violent demonstrations in Arab capitals.

Hezbollah, the radical Lebanese Shiite militia, then gets into the act, raining Katyusha rockets on northern Israel. But when Israeli warplanes bomb the Katyusha batteries, Syria leaps in, sending its commandos to retaliate by capturing key Israeli bunkers atop the Israeli-occupied Golan Heights. Israel's counterattack succeeds only in precipitating a hailstorm of Syrian Scud-D missiles, some armed with chemical warheads, into Israeli cities. Then, just as Israeli planes are incinerating the main electrical plant in Damascus, the first of hundreds of Shehab-3 rockets, pre-targeted at Tel Aviv, lift off from Tehran.

Sound fantastical or too horrific to ponder? Not to Israeli intelligence analysts it doesn't. The Israeli military recently conducted a round of large-scale war games based precisely on this scenario. In some rounds, Israel managed to humble Hamas and Hezbollah while shooting down most of the Iranian and Syrian rockets with its own Arrow and Patriot antimissile systems. But other forecasts went far less well: Israel survives but barely, with its cities devastated and countless civilians killed.

This is the mess that will soon land in the lap of President Clinton, President Obama or President McCain. Despite the shadows of 9/11 and Iraq, the U.S. primary season thus far has been dominated by the economy. But it's a mistake to assume that the next presidency will be. Instead of a honeymoon, the new president could inherit a brush fire raging out of control in a volatile region where U.S. involvement has never been deeper. Would he or she merely convene the U.N. Security Council, or rush to Israel's defense? And how, in the event of a general Middle East war, would the president safeguard the woefully exposed U.S. forces in Iraq?

The Middle East will continue to be the source the gravest threats to U.S. security, whether in the long-term form of a nuclear-armed Iran or the short-term one of an unforeseen multistate war. So the candidates must be pressed about how they would handle a chain reaction in which events in Gaza suddenly engulf the entire region. To borrow an old slogan: It's the Middle East, stupid.

The possibility that a border scrap between Israelis and Palestinians could ignite a regional conflagration should not be too surprising. A very similar concatenation of events led to the most volcanic eruption in the region's modern history, irreparably convulsing the Middle East and carving many of the furrows that still destabilize it.

That conflict, too, began with Palestinian attacks into Israel, a series of Israeli reprisals and a mass clamoring for revenge. The countdown began just over 44 years ago, on New Year's Eve, 1964, when Palestinian guerrillas belonging to the Fatah faction crossed the Lebanese border to attack Israel. Though the infiltrators were intercepted, Fatah's leader, Yasser Arafat, declared the raid a heroic victory and dared Arab rulers to match his audacity.

Few could. The Arab world at the time was split between two warring camps: the socialist, pro-Soviet dictators in Egypt, Syria and Iraq and the conservative, pro-Western monarchs in Saudi Arabia, Jordan and elsewhere. Egypt's fiery leader, Gamal Abdul Nasser, gleefully branded King Hussein of Jordan a Zionist "whore," ratcheting up the tension by hinting that the kings were American lackeys. Despite the rhetoric, Arab rulers did not really want war with Israel. But Arafat's challenge left them little choice.

Nasser responded by ordering the Palestine Liberation Organization, originally established as an Egyptian propaganda tool, to launch its own cross-border attacks. The Israelis lashed back, blowing up Fatah's West

Bank headquarters. Jordan accused Nasser of "hiding behind the skirts" of the U.N. peacekeepers deployed in the Sinai to separate Egypt and Israel. Mortified, Nasser ousted the U.N. forces on May 15, 1967, and closed a strategic Red Sea shipping route to Israeli vessels. Suddenly, Nasser was the champion of the Arab "street," hailed by huge demonstrations that demanded Israel's destruction. The Arab world closed ranks behind him. Shorn of international allies, Israelis were convinced they faced annihilation.

But then Israel struck first. On the morning of June 5, Israeli warplanes obliterated almost the entire Egyptian air force, and Israeli tanks rumbled through Gaza and Sinai. At the end of six days of fighting, Israel had nearly quadrupled the territories under its control, among them the West Bank, the Golan Heights and Gaza. A new era - and new sources of Middle East bloodshed - had emerged.

Much has since changed in the Middle East. The Cold War is largely forgotten, as is the 1960s enmity among most Arab regimes. Israel remains a powerhouse, with more high-tech companies than Western Europe, an ironclad alliance with the United States and (it's widely assumed) a nuclear arsenal. Arafat's successor, Mahmoud Abbas, now rules the West Bank as the head of a Palestinian Authority publicly committed to coexistence with the Jewish state.

But for all these transformations, the Middle East remains the same explosive context of conflict it was in the 1960s. The region is still bitterly divided - not between Arab nationalism and conservatism but between religious moderation and the surge of Islamist extremism spurred, in part, by the Six-Day War. Backed by Syria and Iran, a phalanx of terrorist groups threatens Israeli and Arab societies alike. Israel has peace treaties with Egypt and Jordan and is engaged again in peace talks with the Palestinians, but it is still an object of abomination for the overwhelming majority of Middle Easterners. And violence in Gaza - now run by a democratically elected Hamas government - can still spark turbulent demonstrations throughout the region's streets.

If anything, the Middle East is even more flammable today than in the 1960s because of the countless thousands of short- and long-range missiles in its armies' arsenals. These weapons vastly amplify the potential destruction of any military confrontation while slashing the amount of decision-making time that might be needed to avert all-out war. And modern weapons, including unconventional ones, make everything scarier. A conflict between Israel and Iran might not last six days but six hours, unleashing shock waves even more seismic than those of 1967.

Contemporary Middle Eastern leaders cannot afford to ignore these lessons. Neither can decision-makers - and would-be ones - in the United States. Though the waning Bush administration is focused on trying to reach an Israeli-Palestinian peace treaty, shore up Iraq and flex its muscles at Iran, it should not downplay the danger that a seemingly limited border skirmish could rapidly escalate into a regional catastrophe.

Nor should Bush's heir. The next commander in chief may have to proceed directly from the inauguration to the Situation Room to try to defuse a Middle Eastern crisis of monumental dimensions. That moment could be a single Qassam away.

Michael B. Oren, a senior fellow at the Shalem Center in Jerusalem, is the author of "Six Days of War" and "Power, Faith, and Fantasy: America in the Middle East, 1776 to the Present."

Note from Pastor Kevin Lea: I completely agree with this author's analysis of the likely scenario that will ignite the next major war between Israel and her enemies. What the author does not point out is that for the first time in history, all the nations involved are perfectly lined up to fulfill biblical prophecies that have never been fulfilled in the past.

Specifically, in the first verse of Isaiah 17, Isaiah prophecies the complete destruction of Damascus. Two verses later he says that northern Israel loses its fortresses (military bases) and the strength of Jacob (Israel) is severely reduced. If Mr. Oren is correct about what he postulates are the likely chess moves taken by the Middle Eastern players in the near future, then this coming war will very specifically fulfill this prophecy which was written 2700 years ago. It should be noted that Damascus is the oldest continuously inhabited city in the world and has never been destroyed in the manner Isaiah describes.

Also, in another prophecy that has never been fulfilled (Psalm 83), a prediction is made that nations surrounding Israel (now going by the names, Lebanon, Syria, Jordan, Gaza and Saudi Arabia) will be saying among themselves, "Ps 83:4 *"Come, and let us cut them [Israel] off from being a nation, that the name of Israel may be remembered no more."*

In light of this threat, the prophet, seeing into the future, then calls out to God and says in verses 13-18, "*O my God, make them like the whirling dust, like the chaff before the wind! As **the fire burns** the woods, and as the flame sets the mountains on fire, So pursue them with Your tempest, and frighten them with Your storm. Fill their faces with shame, that they may seek Your name, O LORD. Let them be confounded and dismayed forever; yes, let them be put to shame and perish, that they may know that You, whose name alone is the LORD, are the Most High over all the earth. (NKJ)*

Never in past history have these list of nations come together to make this threat to wipe Israel off of the map, but they are doing it now on a nearly daily basis (either out in the open or behind closed doors). Nor have these nations ever been put to shame, confounded and dismayed **forever** as the prophet predicts they will be during a future conflict with Israel.

Also, the prophet predicts that the survivors of this coming judgment will seek the God of Israel. It is obvious that this too has never taken place in history. Currently all of these antagonist nations chant that there is no god but Allah and Mohammed is his prophet. Maybe their attitude will change when Allah (actually Satan) with his hundreds of millions of devoted followers could not get rid of five million Jews, and instead his followers in this list of Muslim nations are reduced to rubble and charred remains.

Iran is not mentioned in Isaiah 17 or Psalm 83, so it is possible that Iran will not be part of the coming war as Mr. Oren postulates, or at least does not suffer the same destruction as Israel's immediate neighbors. However, Iran (formally Persia) is among the nations listed in another prophecy that has not been fulfilled.

In Ezekiel 38-39, another war brews as troops from nations now referred to as Russia, Iran, Turkey, Libya, and Ethiopia/Sudan make their way to the hills of Israel to "take a spoil." The prophet observes that no other nations come to Israel's aid and even Israel seems unable to defend herself against the amassing hoards. In this case, God himself destroys the armies seeking to destroy Israel.

Could it be that this coming war Mr. Oren thinks is immanent will fulfill Psalm 83 and Isaiah 17, destroying all of Israel's immediate neighbors but leaving Israel severely bruised and weakened? If so, as the dust settles from this conflict, could the resulting change to the geo-political landscape then set the stage for Russia to think they can join with other, yet untouched, Islamic (oil rich) nations to finish off the perceived problem child of the Middle East, Israel.

It sure seems that way to me. If so, someone ought to warn the outgoing president of Russia that he may want to take a vacation, read the Bible and warn to new president not to mess with God's witness people, the Jews. May I suggest the book of Esther, especially as Israel prepares to celebrate this feast in remembrance of God's miraculous ability to defend His people against those who want to destroy her?